

# ASCCC SLO INSTITUTE: JULY 14, 2011

## Creating Authentic Assessments

“By the end of this breakout session, participants will be able to evaluate assessment methods and techniques for their degree of authenticity.”

Assessment Criteria	Authentic	Emerging	Busy Work
<b>Degree of student engagement and involvement</b>	Students stay fully engaged, active and on-task	Students show moderate degree of interest	Students seems bored, listless throughout
<b>Application to “real-world” situations (e.g. society, the workplace)</b>	Assignments are simulations of similar tasks outside class (e.g. in workplaces)	A few parallels to similar possible workplace tasks can be seen	Assignments have no relevance to anything outside the classroom
<b>Degree of higher-order or “critical thinking” elicited (e.g. Bloom’s Taxonomy)</b>	Students are able to create new learning by combining diverse points into more integral ones	Some applications and connections are being made to other tasks	Students are memorizing isolated facts & not connecting them
<b>Clarity of outcomes being assessed and instructions for assessment task</b>	Students understand both the assignment and the outcome being assessed	Some understanding of the purposes of the assignment are exhibited	Students are fulfilling assignment without knowing why
<b>Maps to other higher-level outcomes</b>	The actively clearly “maps” to GE, department and/or institutional outcomes	Some connections between activity and other outcomes exists	No connection between the activity and outcomes is evident
<b>Degree of data and dialogue generated</b>	Student results include a basis for dialogue and potential changes	Small groups of faculty might meet on their own for dialogue	Students results are isolated and not shared with other faculty
<b>Other criteria? (Fill in with your group)</b>			